This course provides an introduction to the study of public policy and the professional practice of policy analysis. We consider a number of fundamental questions: What are the rationales for collective interference in private affairs? What are the limitations to collective action? What are the generic instruments of public policy? How can we measure social costs and benefits? What are the appropriate roles for policy analysts in democratic societies? We also seek to improve our basic skills in analytical thinking, information gathering, and writing as we attempt to answer these questions.

Primary Activities and Assessment

Grades will be based on my assessment of three of your activities:

**Four memorandum exercises and class participation (40 percent)**

The memorandum exercises provide an opportunity to practice analytical writing: the first exercise provides a general introduction; the remaining three are related to your policy analysis projects.

Your active class participation will make the course more valuable for all of us.

**Policy Analysis project (30 percent)**

A semester-long project on a randomly assigned topic gives you an opportunity to apply the concepts and craft skills introduced in the course. I will base my assessment primarily on your first draft, which will be due on April 26th, and secondarily on your revisions which will be due May 8th in PDF format with an accompanying memorandum explaining any revisions you made to the first draft.

**Midterm examination on March 8th (30 percent)**

A test of your mastery of the basic rationales for, and limits to, public policy.

I will consider presentation and punctuality in evaluating all assignments.
Readings

We will make heavy use of the following text, which is available on reserve:


A few additional readings and other relevant course materials are available at learn@uw.

I expect you to identify and draw from scholarly research relevant to your particular policy analysis project.

**Tentative Schedule**

**Introduction** (January 18)

Course overview. Projects randomly assigned and briefly discussed.

Exercise 1: Due in class on **January 25**. (Be prepared to discuss in class.)

**Discussion of Prior Policy Analysis Reports** (January 25)

Readings: Prior projects listed under Exercise 1; Live Kidney Donation Case (Chapter 1, 6th edition)

**Policy Analysis: Overview** (February 1)

We consider two important questions that we will revisit throughout the course: What roles does policy analysis play in democratic societies? What roles should it play?

Readings: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 2 and 3

**Market Failures as Rationales for Public Policy** (February 8 and 15)

Welfare economics specifies the assumptions under which market equilibria are Pareto efficient. Certain violations of these assumptions are commonly identified as market failures: public goods, externalities, natural monopolies, and information asymmetries. Market failures provide important rationales for collective interference with individual choices. Our goal is to understand these basic market failures, and other limitations of the competitive framework, for purposes of framing and modeling policy problems.

Reading: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 4, 5, and 6

**Goals Other Than Efficiency as Rationales for Public Policy** (February 22)

Though efficiency is almost always one of the relevant goals in policy analysis, it is rarely the only
one. It is useful to develop conceptual foundations for thinking about distributional and other goals that commonly arise in policy analysis.

Reading: Weimer and Vining, Chapter 7

Exercise 2: Due March 1

**Government Failure as Limitation and Rationale** (March 1)

Just as markets fail in systematic ways, so too does collective action. Such generic government failures interfere with the effective correction of market failures and produce public policies that themselves are policy problems.

Reading: Weimer and Vining, Chapter 8

**Midterm Examination** (March 8)

Test covering the concepts developed in the first half of the course.

Note: Following the midterm, we will adjust topics as necessary to help you bring your projects to successful conclusions.

**Structuring Analysis** (March 15 and 29)

An overview of the steps in the rationalist mode of policy analysis. We consider how to frame and model policy problems, select appropriate goals and criteria, specify policy alternatives, predict and value consequences, identify tradeoffs among alternatives, and effectively communicate recommendations.

Reading: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 14 and 15

Bring your goals/alternative matrix for your project to class on March 29 as either a PowerPoint slide or pdf page on a flash drive. Be prepared to present it to the class.

**Policy Design** (April 5)

A discussion of how to design policies. We will consider generic policies as starting points for design, as well as borrowing and adapting designs used in other contexts.

Reading: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 9, 10, and 13

Exercise 3: Due April 12

**Thinking Strategically: Adoption and Implementation** (April 12 and 19)

A realistic assessment of policy alternatives almost always requires consideration of the feasibility
of their adoption and implementation. How can we make predictions about feasibility? How can we alter policy alternatives to improve their feasibility?

Readings: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 11 and 12.

Cost-Benefit Analysis (April 26)

Although cost-benefit analysis (CBA) is rarely appropriate as a decision rule, it provides useful protocols for valuing the efficiency impacts of policy alternatives. We review basic concepts underlying CBA such as willingness-to-pay, opportunity cost, discounting for time, and the treatment of risk. We also consider the conceptual and practical limitations to its application.

Readings: Weimer and Vining, Chapters 16 and 17

Two copies of your project report are due on April 26 at the beginning of class.

Exercise 4: Two copies due at the beginning of class on May 3.

Project Wrap-Up (May 3)

This session is set aside for revisiting topics of interest and discussing successful completion of projects.

PDF file of revised project report due by e-mail attachment on May 8. The title of the file should be (your last name)_(word conveying subject)_2017.pdf. The content of the e-mail should indicate how you responded to comments on your initial report draft.)
Exercise 1

Read David Weimer, “Memorandum on Memoranda Writing,” the Live Kidney Case (Chapter 1, 6th edition), and each of the following policy analyses available at learn@uw:

Philip Duran, “Black Bear Management in Wisconsin”

Ellen Hildebrand, “Addressing Methane Leakage in the Natural Gas Industry”

Alec Mitchell, “Implementing Uniform Body Camera Policy”

Christa Pugh, “Addressing the Problem of Pertussis in Wisconsin”

Write a memorandum to the client of one of these reports that makes a recommendation for action on the problem addressed in the report. You may focus on the recommendation in the report or argue for some other alternative. Your memorandum should be no more than three, double-spaced, typed pages in length. Your recommendation should be stated clearly in the last sentence of the first paragraph. The rest of your memorandum should indicate the advantages and disadvantages of your recommendation. You may but are not required to consult relevant sources beyond the report itself. Be prepared to discuss similarities and differences in the structures of the four memoranda in class.

Exercise 2

One of the steps in defining a policy problem is framing it as a market or government failure. The framing helps you to determine if there is a strong rationale for government action and suggests generic policy alternatives for consideration. Prepare a three- to four-page (double-spaced) analysis that introduces the problem implied by your project statement and frames it as a market or government failure. (Projects often begin as a request for analysis of a specific alternative to current policy. In such cases, problem definition involves identifying the underlying policy problem that prompts interest in the alternative.) After writing an introductory paragraph, divide your memorandum into two distinct parts. In the first part provide a sketch of the context for your problem. The sketch may be in the form of a brief history, or it may simply describe the symptoms of the problem. The second part should clearly frame your problem as a market or government failure.

It is likely that you will be able to provide a useful frame by focusing on one market or government failure. It may be that several others are applicable as well. While you may note these in passing, try to stay focused on the one that best helps you understand the nature of the problem from the perspective of the good of society. Your memorandum should show that you understand the concept and that you are able to apply it to a specific context.

Imagine that you are writing your memorandum to a colleague who will be working with you on the analysis. Assume that your colleague does not have much background in economics. Clearly explain any technical terms that you use. Also, avoid using diagrams. If you think that a diagram is essential, then put it in an appendix.
Exercise 3

When doing policy analysis, it is usually helpful to consider some possible policy alternatives in considerable depth well before preparing a full draft. Looking ahead to alternatives often helps one to refine goals and criteria. It also helps one anticipate the sort of information that will be useful for predicting consequences of alternative policies. Now would be a good time for you to focus on one of your promising policy alternatives for your project.

In a brief memorandum of three double-spaced pages please do the following: First, clearly specify one of your policy alternatives in terms of all its essential elements. Second, briefly outline what you believe to be the major effects of the alternative—including both the use of resources required to implement it and its impacts. Third, discuss what information you hope to use to predict the magnitudes of the major effects.

Exercise 4

Prepare a brief memorandum of two or three double-spaced pages that provides your colleague with constructive criticism of his or her policy report. Submit two copies.